

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/855,388	0:	5/15/2001	John D. Reed	PF02077NA	PF02077NA 8962	
23447	7590	08/16/2004		EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA INC 5401 NORTH BEACH STREET				YANG, RYAN R		
MAILSTOP		STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WOR	TH, TX	76137		2672		

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/855,388	REED ET AL.						
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Ryan R Yang	2672						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NC	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	,					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	n //	A Dia					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , ,	James	ERY BRIER IY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner consider since both Sakaihara and McNelley are both useful in the same field of endeavor of electronic display, McNelley is useful to ensure an important part of picture in Sakaihara is protected.